

The Postulates of Quantum Mechanics

When people say we don't understand quantum mechanics, that isn't entirely true. We understand the mathematics - it is linear algebra. What we really don't understand is why it works, and the physical interpretation.

The mathematical description of Q.M. is summarized in 5 postulates of how interactions work at small scales.

Postulate 1: The state of a Q.M. system is completely specified by $\Psi(\vec{x}, t)$ that depends on the coordinates of the particles and on time.

$\Rightarrow \Psi(\vec{x}, t)$ is the wave function.

$\Rightarrow \Psi^*(\vec{x}, t) \Psi(\vec{x}, t) d^3x$ is the prob. that a particle is in the volume d^3x at (\vec{x}, t) .

$\Rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^*(\vec{x}, t) \Psi(\vec{x}, t) d^3x = 1$ normalizability.

Postulate 2: Every observable in classical mechanics corresponds to a linear, Hermitian operator in Q.M.

\Rightarrow Must be Hermitian in order to have real observables.

\Rightarrow Classical: p

$$\text{Q.M.} : -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \hat{p}$$

Postulate 3: Any measurement of the observable \hat{O} results in an eigenvalue of \hat{O} , acting on the wavefunction Ψ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{O} |\Psi\rangle = \lambda |\Psi\rangle \\ \text{operator} \rightarrow & & \leftarrow \text{observed measurements} \end{array}$$

* This is the heart of Q.M. this is how we observe "quanta" or discreteness!! *

Note: Could start in arbitrary state, which you will learn about later.

Note: Once measurement of $|\Psi\rangle$ yields λ_i , we say the wavefunction collapses into the eigenstate $|\Psi_i\rangle$.

Postulate 4: If a system is in a normalized state Ψ , then the average value of the observable \hat{O} is given by,

$$\langle O \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^* \hat{O} \Psi d^3x$$

Postulate 5: The wavefunction evolves in time according to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation.

$$\hat{H} \Psi(\vec{x}, t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}$$

$$\text{or } i\hbar \cdot \frac{\partial \Psi(\vec{x}, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \Psi(\vec{x}, t) + V(\vec{x}) \Psi(\vec{x}, t)$$

* Quick Thought: Set $V(\vec{x}) = 0$.

$$\Rightarrow i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(\vec{x}, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \Psi(\vec{x}, t)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Psi(\vec{x}, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \nabla^2 \Psi(\vec{x}, t) \quad \left. \vphantom{\frac{\partial \Psi(\vec{x}, t)}{\partial t}} \right\} \text{What does this look like to you?}$$

$$\frac{\partial f(\vec{x}, t)}{\partial t} = D \nabla^2 f(\vec{x}, t) \quad \left. \vphantom{\frac{\partial f(\vec{x}, t)}{\partial t}} \right\} \text{Diffusion equation!}$$

D: Diffusivity D.

→ Here $D = \frac{i\hbar}{2m}$ imaginary diffusion strength!

The Schrödinger equation is a diffusion equation with imaginary diffusivity.

Integral Tips & Tricks

You probably know the trick that if you have the integral:

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx$$

where $f(x)$ is an even function (symmetric function).

$$\Rightarrow I = 2 \int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx$$

What if $f(x)$ is an odd function? (antisymmetric)

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 0$$

Why is this useful? Take a function, that could be a wavefunction:

$$f(x) = N e^{-x^2}$$

What is the expectation value of position, $\langle x \rangle$?

$$\langle x \rangle \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} N x e^{-x^2} dx = N \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x e^{-x^2} dx}_{\text{odd}} = 0$$

Note: An even function multiplied by an odd function is odd.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{even} &\equiv + \\ \text{odd} &\equiv - \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{even} \cdot \text{odd} &= \text{odd} \\ (+) \cdot (-) &= (-) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{odd} \cdot \text{odd} &= \text{even} \\ (-) \cdot (-) &= (+) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{even} \cdot \text{even} &= \text{even} \\ (+) \cdot (+) &= (+) \end{aligned}$$

Important Point About Commutation

Recall, I discussed what the eigenbasis of an operator is, and how to find it.

Imagine you have two observables \hat{A} & \hat{B} , and perform the following measurements (rapidly):

$$\hat{A} \xrightarrow{1} \hat{B} \xrightarrow{2} \hat{A} \xrightarrow{3}$$

Will the measurement in 1 be equal to that in 3?

Classically it would, because measurement does not affect the system.

In Q.M., you will only have measurement 1 and 3 equal if A and B commute. $\rightarrow [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = 0$

Recall: Earlier in the postulates I said measurement collapses the wave function into an eigenstate $|\psi_i\rangle$, with measured value λ_i .

In our example, \hat{A} puts the system in some eigenstate $|A_j\rangle$, then \hat{B} puts it in $|B_j\rangle$.

Measurement 3 will only be A_j (eigenvalue) if

$|A_j\rangle = |B_j\rangle$ } These are eigenvectors! \hat{A} & \hat{B} have to share an eigenbasis.

Commutation implies a common eigenbasis $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = 0$
(The first question in your homework asks to show this.)